

**PHD candidate Gazmend KRASNIQI<sup>1</sup>**  
**Prof.Dr. Kristaq FILIPI<sup>2</sup>**

## **MODULE OF HOMEOMORPHISMS TO MODULE**

### **Abstract**

In this article, after a concise presentation of the modules over rings as a generalization of vector space over the fields, their homeomorphisms are treated. Further builds  $R$ -module si  $R$ -module of morphisms of the modules.

Keywords:  $R$ -module, left (right)  $R$ -module, abelian group, associative ring,  $R$ -homeomorphisms

### **1. The meaning of the $R$ -Module, feature**

Let  $M$  be an non empty set of equipped with an internal algebraic action [2] marked with the symbol of collection  $+$  and  $R$  an associative ring whatsoever [3]. A set  $M$  is also equipped with an algebraic external action [2] indicated by the multiplication symbol  $\cdot$ , which, when reflecting  $R \times M$  in  $M$ , is referred to as the left multiplication in  $M$  with elements from  $R$ , whereas, when reflecting the  $M \times R$  in  $M$  is called right multiplication in  $M$  with elements from  $R$ . In the first case the couple's image  $(r, m) \in R \times M$  is written  $r \cdot m$ , in the second case the couple's image  $(m, r) \in M \times R$  is written  $m \cdot r$ .

**Definition 1.1** [1, 5, 6] In the above conditions, the left module above the  $R$  ring is called the structure  $(M, +, \cdot)$ , which has its own attributes:

---

<sup>1</sup> University of Vlora "Ismail Qemali", Faculty fo Technical Sciences, Department of Mathematics, Vlora, Albania;

Author of correspondence; Email: [gazmend.krasniqi@hotmail.com](mailto:gazmend.krasniqi@hotmail.com)

<sup>2</sup> Polytechnic University of Tirana, Department of Mathematics, Tirana, Albania

$$\bullet (M, +) \text{ is an abelian group;} \quad (1)$$

$$\bullet \forall (r_1, r_2, m) \in R^2 \times M, r_1(r_2 m) = (r_1 r_2)m; \quad (2)$$

$$\bullet \forall (r, m_1, m_2) \in R \times M^2, r(m_1 + m_2) = rm_1 + rm_2; \quad (3)$$

$$\bullet \forall (r_1, r_2, m) \in R^2 \times M, (r_1 + r_2)m = r_1 m + r_2 m. \quad (4)$$

**Definition 1.2.** Under the above conditions, the right module above the  $R$  ring is called the structure  $(M, +, \cdot)$ , which has its own attributes:

$$\bullet (M, +) \text{ is an abelian group;} \quad (1')$$

$$\bullet \forall (m, r_1, r_2) \in M \times R^2, (mr_1)r_2 = m(r_1 r_2); \quad (2')$$

$$\bullet \forall (m_1, m_2, r) \in M^2 \times R, (m_1 + m_2)r = m_1 r + m_2 r; \quad (3')$$

$$\bullet \forall (m, r_1, r_2) \in M \times R^2, m(r_1 + r_2) = mr_1 + mr_2. \quad (4')$$

The left (right) module above the  $R$  ring is marked  ${}_R M (M_R)$  and is called  $R$ -left module (right). If the left-hand module above  $R$  is also the right is called a *module* above the  $R$  ring, in short  $R$ -module.

If the ring has a single element  $\mathbf{1} \ 1_R$  (short 1) and the above-mentioned attributes for  ${}_R M (M_R)$  is added the feature

$$\bullet \forall m \in M, 1 \cdot m = m (m \cdot 1 = m) \quad (5)$$

then the module  ${}_R M (M_R)$  is called *the unitary left (right) module* above the  $R$  ring.

In ongoing, the  $R$  ring is associated and for a module on such a ring simple naming is used  $R$ -Module.

Below we will treat the  $R$ -modules, implying left  $R$ -modules, since the right  $R$ -modules are treated analogously.

**THEOREM 1.1.** A  $R$ -module  $M$  enjoys the following attributes:

$$\bullet \forall m \in M, 0_R \cdot m = 0_M; \quad (6)$$

$$\bullet \bullet \forall r \in R, r \cdot 0_M = 0_M; \quad (7)$$

$$\bullet \bullet \bullet \forall m \in M, \forall r \in R, (-r) \cdot m = r \cdot (-m) = -r \cdot m \in M. \quad (8)$$

**Proof.** Let  $r$  be a fixed element of the  $R$  ring and  $m$  any other element of the  ${}_R M$  module. By Definition 1.1. we have  $r \cdot m + 0_R \cdot m = (r + 0_R) \cdot m = r \cdot m$ . On the other hand, by the additive group  $(M, +)$ , we have  $r \cdot m + 0_M = r \cdot m$ . From here  $r \cdot m + 0_R \cdot m = r \cdot m + 0_M$ , that gives  $0_R \cdot m = 0_M$ .

- •  $r \cdot 0_M = r \cdot (0_R \cdot m) = (r \cdot 0_R) \cdot m = 0_R \cdot m = 0_M$ .
- • •  $r \cdot m + (-r) \cdot m = (r + (-r))m = 0_R \cdot m = 0_R \cdot m = 0_M$   
 $\Rightarrow (-r) \cdot m = -r \cdot m$ .

## 2. $R$ -Homeomorphisms of $R$ -Modules

**Definition 2.1** [1,6]  $R$ -homomorphism (or  $R$ -morphism) of a  $R$ -module  $M$  in a  $R$ -module  $N$  is called any reflection  $f: M \rightarrow N$  having attributes

- $f(m_1 + m_2) = f(m_1) + f(m_2) \quad \forall m_1, m_2 \in M;$  (9)

- $f(r \cdot m) = r \cdot f(m), \forall r \in R \text{ and } \forall m \in M$  (10)

(ose  $f(m \cdot r) = f(m) \cdot r, \forall r \in R \text{ and } \forall m \in M$ ).

If  $M=N$ , then the reflection  $f$  is called  $R$ -endomorphism in  $M$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** For every two  $R$ -modules  $M, N$ , if the reflection  $f: M \rightarrow N$  is a  $R$ -homomorphism, then

- $f(0_M) = 0_N,$  (11)

- $f(-m) = -f(m), \forall m \in M,$  (12)

- $f(m_1 - m_2) = f(m_1) - f(m_2), \forall m_1, m_2 \in M,$  (13)

**Proof.** According to (6) and (10) we have

$$f(0_M) = f(0_R \cdot m) = 0_N \quad f(\theta_M) = \theta_N.$$

Further, according to (9),

$$0_N = f(0_M) = f(0_M + (-0_M)) = f(0_M) + f(-0_M),$$

that tells us  $f(-m)$  is the symmetric of  $f(m)$  in the group  $(N, +)$ , so  $-f(m) = f(-m)$ . Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} f(m_1 - m_2) &= f(m_1 + (-m_2)) = f(m_1) + f(-m_2) \\ &= f(m_1) + (-f(m_2)) = f(m_1) - f(m_2), \forall m_1, m_2 \in M. \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 2.2.** For each two  $R$ -modules  $M, N$ , reflection  $p_0: M \rightarrow N$ , defined by  $p_0(m) = 0_N, \forall m \in M$ , is the  $R$ -homeomorphism of  $M$  to  $N$ .

**Proof.** From the above definition of reflection  $p_0$  we have

$p_0(m_1+m_2)=0_N=0_N+0_N=p_0(m_1)+p_0(m_2), \forall m_1, m_2 \in M$ ,  
 which indicates that  $p_0$  enjoys the attribute(9); we also have  
 $p_0(r^* \cdot m)=0_N=r^* \cdot 0_N=r^* \cdot p_0(m), \forall r \in R \text{ dhe } \forall m \in M$ ,  
 which indicates that  $p_0$  also enjoys the attribute (10).

**THEOREM 2.3.** Identical reflection  $I_M : M \rightarrow M$  (e.g the reflection defined by  $I_M(m) = m, \forall m \in M$  is an **R**-endomorphism in **M**.

**Proof.** From the above definition of the identical reflection  $I_M$  we have

$$I_M(m_1+m_2)=m_1+m_2=I_M(m_1)+I_M(m_2), \forall m_1, m_2 \in M,$$

Indicating that the  $I_M$  enjoys the attribute (9); we also have

$$I_M(r^* \cdot m)=r^* \cdot m=r^* \cdot I_M(m), \forall r \in R \text{ dhe } \forall m \in M,$$

which indicates that  $I_M$  enjoys the attribute (10).

### 3. Module $Hom_R(M, N)$ of **R**-Homeomorphisms of the Modules

The study of homomorphisms of modules bring to the construction of an important module, called the *homomorphism module*.

Let be given the **R**-module **M** and the **R**-module **N**. The set of **R**-homomorphisms from **M** to **N** is written  $Hom_R(M, N)$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let be  $f, g$  two possible reflections from **M** to **N** and  $r$  an element of an **R** ring. Then:

1. Many of the reflection  $f$  with the  $g$  reflection, which is written  $f + g$ , is called reflection  $f+g: M \rightarrow N$ , defined by

$$(f + g)(m) = f(m) + g(m), \forall m \in M. \quad (14)$$

2. The opposite reflection of  $f$  reflection, which is written  $-f$ , is called reflection  $-f: M \rightarrow N$ , defined by

$$(-f)(m) = -f(m), \forall m \in M. \quad (15)$$

3. The left product of the reflection  $f$  with the element  $r \in R$ , which is written  $r \cdot f$ , is called the reflection  $r \cdot f: M \rightarrow N$ , defined by

$$(r \cdot f)(m) = r \cdot f(m), \forall m \in M. \quad (16)$$

An analogy is given to the meaning and the right production  $f \cdot r$  such that

$$(f \cdot r)(m) = f(m) \cdot r, \forall m \in M.$$

**THEOREM 3.1.** If the reflections  $f, g$  are **R**-homomorphisms from **M** to **N** then:

$$1. \quad f+g \in Hom_R(M, N), \quad (17)$$

otherwise, their amount  $f+g$  is a **R**-homomorphism from **M** to **N**;

$$2. \quad -f \in Hom_R(M, N), \quad (18)$$

otherwise, the reverse reflection  $-f$  is a **R**-homomorphism from **M** to **N**;

3. For each  $r \in R$ , where  $R$  is commutative,

$$r \cdot f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N), \quad (19)$$

otherwise, the left (right) production of  $f$  reflection with elements from  $R$  is a  $R$ -homomorphism from  $M$  to  $N$ .

**Proof.**

1. Since the reflections  $f, g$  are  $R$ -homomorphisms from  $M$  to  $N$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (f+g)(m_1+m_2) &\stackrel{(14)}{=} f(m_1+m_2) + g(m_1+m_2) \\ &\stackrel{(9)}{=} [f(m_1) + f(m_2)] + [g(m_1) + g(m_2)] \\ &\stackrel{(14)}{=} [f(m_1) + g(m_1)] + [f(m_2) + g(m_2)] \\ &= (f+g)(m_1) + (f+g)(m_2), \quad \forall m_1, m_2 \in M, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $f+g$  enjoys the attribute (9); we also have

$$\begin{aligned} (f+g)(rm) &\stackrel{(14)}{=} f(rm) + g(rm) \\ &\stackrel{(10)}{=} rf(m) + rg(m) \\ &= r[f(m) + g(m)] \\ &\stackrel{(14)}{=} r[(f+g)(m)], \quad \forall r \in R \text{ dhe } \forall m \in M, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $f+g$  enjoys the attribute (10). Consequently  $f+g \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$

2. Reflection  $f$  is  $R$ -homomorphism from  $M$  to  $N$ , therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (-f)(m_1+m_2) &\stackrel{(15)}{=} -f(m_1+m_2) \stackrel{(12)}{=} f(-(m_1+m_2)) = f(-(m_1)+(-m_2)) \\ &\stackrel{(9)}{=} f(-m_1) + f(-m_2) \stackrel{(12)}{=} (-f(m_1)) + (-f(m_2)) \stackrel{(15)}{=} (-f)(m_1) + (-f)(m_2), \quad \forall m_1, m_2 \in M, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $-f$  enjoys the attribute (9); Also, having in mind and (8)

we have

$$(-f)(r \cdot m) \stackrel{(12)}{=} f(-r \cdot m) \stackrel{(8)}{=} f(r \cdot (-m)) \stackrel{(10)}{=} r \cdot f(-m) \stackrel{(12)}{=} r \cdot [-f(m)]$$

$$\stackrel{(15)}{=} r \cdot [(-f)(m)], \quad \forall r \in R \text{ dhe } \forall m \in M,$$

which shows that  $-f$  enjoys even the attribute (10).

3. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} (r \cdot f)(m_1+m_2) &\stackrel{(16)}{=} r \cdot f(m_1+m_2) \stackrel{(9)}{=} r \cdot [f(m_1) + f(m_2)] = r \cdot f(m_1) + r \cdot f(m_2) \\ &\stackrel{(16)}{=} (r \cdot f)(m_1) + (r \cdot f)(m_2), \quad \forall m_1, m_2 \in M, \end{aligned}$$

showing that  $r \cdot f$  has its attribute (9); also, knowing that the  $R$  ring is commutative we have

$$\begin{aligned} (r \cdot f)(\rho m) &= r \cdot f(\rho m) \stackrel{(10)}{=} r \cdot [\rho f(m)] = (r \rho) \cdot f(m) = (\rho r) \cdot f(m) \\ &\stackrel{(16)}{=} \rho \cdot [r \cdot f(m)] = \rho \cdot [(r \cdot f)(m)], \quad \forall \rho \in R \text{ dhe } \forall m \in M, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $r \cdot f$  also enjoys attribute (10).

**Definition 3.2.**  $R$ -homomorphism  $f+g: M \rightarrow N$  is called  $R$ -homeomorphism  $f: M \rightarrow N$  with  $R$ -homeomorphism  $g: M \rightarrow N$ ,  $R$ -homeomorphism  $-f$  is called the opposite  $R$ -homeomorphism  $f: M \rightarrow N$ , but  $R$ -homomorphism  $r \cdot f$  ( $f \cdot r$ ), when  $R$  is commutative, is called left (right) production of  $R$ -homomorphism  $f: M \rightarrow N$  with element  $r \in R$

Through this definition, they are introduced into the set  $Hom_R(M, N)$  action of addition  $+$  and left (right) multiplication, which make it algebra  $(Hom_R(M, N), +, \cdot)$  with two actions.

**THEOREM 3.2.** If the  $R$  ring is commutative, then the algebra  $(Hom_R(M, N), +, \cdot)$  of  $R$ -homeomorphisms from  $M$  to  $N$  is the  $R$ -left(right) module.

**Proof.** We show that they satisfy the conditions (1), (2), (3), (4) of Definition 1.1. of a left  $R$ -module.

(1) From the above it is easy to see that:

- $\forall f, g, h \in Hom_R(M, N), (f + g) + h = f + (g + h);$
- $\forall f \in Hom_R(M, N), f + p_0 = f;$
- $\forall f \in Hom_R(M, N), f + (-f) = p_0;$
- $\forall f, g \in Hom_R(M, N), f + g = g + f,$

indicating that  $Hom_R(M, N), +$  is an abelian group.

(2)  $\forall (r_1, r_2, f) \in R^2 \times Hom_R(M, N)$ , writing  $g = r_2 \cdot f$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [r_1 \cdot (r_2 \cdot f)](m) &\stackrel{(16)}{=} (r_1 \cdot g)(m) = r_1 \cdot g(m) \stackrel{(16)}{=} r_1 \cdot [(r_2 \cdot f)(m)] = r_1 \cdot [r_2 \cdot f(m)] \\ &\stackrel{(10)}{=} r_1 \cdot [f(r_2 \cdot m)] = f(r_1 \cdot (r_2 \cdot m)) = f((r_1 \cdot r_2) \cdot m) \stackrel{(10)}{=} (r_1 \cdot r_2) \cdot f(m) \\ &\stackrel{(16)}{=} [(r_1 \cdot r_2) \cdot f](m), \quad \forall m \in M, \end{aligned}$$

which indicates that  $r_1 \cdot (r_2 \cdot f) = (r_1 \cdot r_2) \cdot f$ .

(3)  $\forall (f, g) \in R \times [Hom_R(M, N)]^2$  we have

$$[r \cdot (f + g)](m) = r \cdot [(f + g)(m)] = r \cdot [f(m) + g(m)] = r \cdot f(m) + r \cdot g(m)$$

$$\stackrel{(16)}{=} (r \cdot f)(m) + \stackrel{(14)}{(r \cdot g)(m)} = (r \cdot f + r \cdot g)(m), \forall m \in M,$$

which indicates that  $r \cdot (f+g) = r \cdot f + r \cdot g$ .

(4)  $\forall (r_1, r_2, f) \in R^2 \times Hom_R(M, N)$  , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \stackrel{(16)}{[(r_1+r_2) \cdot f](m)} &= \stackrel{(16)}{(r_1+r_2) \cdot f(m)} = \stackrel{(10)}{f(r_1+r_2)m} = \stackrel{(9)}{f(r_1m+r_2m)} = f(r_1m) + f(r_2m) \\ \stackrel{(10)}{=} r_1 \cdot f(m) + r_2 \cdot f(m) &= \stackrel{(16)}{(r_1 \cdot f)(m)} + \stackrel{(9)}{(r_2 \cdot f)(m)} = \stackrel{(9)}{(r_1 \cdot f + r_2 \cdot f)(m)}, \forall m \in M, \end{aligned}$$

which indicates that  $(r_1+r_2) \cdot f = r_1 \cdot f + r_2 \cdot f$ .

Analogously it is shown that  $(Hom_R(M, N), +, \cdot)$  is the right  $R$ -module when  $\cdot$  is right multiplication with elements from  $R$ .

## References

- Kristaq Filipi, Leksione të shkruara për modulet, Tiranë 2015,
- Kristaq Filipi, ALGJEBRA DHE GJEOMETRIA(ribotim), Tiranë 2015,
- Kristaq Filipi, ALGJEBËR ABSTRAKTE, Tiranë 2013,
- M.Hazewinkel etj., ALGEBRAS, RINGS AND MODULES, 2004.
- E.Ademaj, E. Gashi, ALGJEBRA E PËRGJITHSHME, Prishtinë 1986
- B. Gazidede, Algjebra 1, Tiranë 2006
- Y.Bahturin, Osnovnie strukturi sovremenoj allgebri, Moske 1990.
- Remy Oudompheng, Duality and canonical modules, Spring school in Local Algebra 2009
- Eisenbud D., Commutative Algebra with a view Toward
- Algebraic Geometry, Springer-Verlag New York 1995
- Saunders, MacLane, Homology, Springer –Verlag Berlin 1963